

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

LARRY HELFERICH,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff Larry Helferich brings this action to secure redress from unlawful credit and collection practices engaged in by defendant Diversified Consultants, Inc. Plaintiff alleges violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

2. This Court has jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. §1692k (FDCPA), 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 28 U.S.C. §1337.

3. Venue and personal jurisdiction in this District are proper because:

- a. Defendant's collection communications were received by plaintiff within this District;
- b. Defendant does or transacts business within this District.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Larry Helferich is an individual who resides in the Northern District of Illinois.

5. Defendant Diversified Consultants, Inc., is a Florida corporation with principal offices at 10550 Deerwood Park Blvd., Suite 309, Jacksonville, Florida 32256. It does business in Illinois. Its registered agent and office is InCorp Services Inc., 901 S. 2nd Street, Suite 201, Springfield, IL 62704.

6. Diversified Consultants, Inc., is engaged in the business of a collection agency, using the mails and telephone to collect consumer debts originally owed to others.

7. Diversified Consultants, Inc., is a debt collector as defined in the FDCPA.

FACTS

8. Defendant has been attempting to collect from plaintiff an alleged telephone debt incurred, if at all, for personal, family or household purposes.

9. On or about September 10, 2015, plaintiff received a call from defendant. The caller offered a settlement, which plaintiff was amenable to.

10. In a subsequent phone conversation, plaintiff was told that the settlement could not be honored by defendant.

11. At no time did plaintiff receive a "notice of debt" as required by 15 U.S.C. §1692g.

12. On information and belief, defendant did not send any such notice to plaintiff.

COUNT I – FDCPA

13. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-12.

14. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g, by failing to provide the "notice of debt."

15. Section 1692g provides:

§ 1692g. Validation of debts

(a) Notice of debt; contents. Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing—

(1) the amount of the debt;

(2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;

(3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;

(4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such

verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and

(5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

(b) Disputed debts. If the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period described in subsection (a) that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, or that the consumer requests the name and address of the original creditor, the debt collector shall cease collection of the debt, or any disputed portion thereof, until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment, or the name and address of the original creditor, and a copy of such verification or judgment, or name and address of the original creditor, is mailed to the consumer by the debt collector. Collection activities and communications that do not otherwise violate this title may continue during the 30-day period referred to in subsection (a) unless the consumer has notified the debt collector in writing that the debt, or any portion of the debt, is disputed or that the consumer requests the name and address of the original creditor. Any collection activities and communication during the 30-day period may not overshadow or be inconsistent with the disclosure of the consumer's right to dispute the debt or request the name and address of the original creditor.

(c) Admission of liability. The failure of a consumer to dispute the validity of a debt under this section may not be construed by any court as an admission of liability by the consumer.

(d) Legal pleadings. A communication in the form of a formal pleading in a civil action shall not be treated as an initial communication for purposes of subsection (a).

(e) Notice provisions. The sending or delivery of any form or notice which does not relate to the collection of a debt and is expressly required by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 [26 USCS §§ 1 et seq.], title V of Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act [15 USCS §§ 6801 et seq.], or any provision of Federal or State law relating to notice of data security breach or privacy, or any regulation prescribed under any such provision of law, shall not be treated as an initial communication in connection with debt collection for purposes of this section.

16. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e by offering a settlement and then refusing to honor it.

17. Section 1692e provides:

§ 1692e. False or misleading representations

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section: . . .

(10) The use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer. .

.

WHEREFORE, the Court should enter judgment in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for:

- a. Statutory damages;
- b. Attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit;
- c. Such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

/s/ Daniel A. Edelman
Daniel A. Edelman

Daniel A. Edelman
Cathleen M. Combs
James O. Lattuner
EDELMAN, COMBS, LATTURNER
& GOODWIN, L.L.C.
20 S. Clark Street, Suite 1500
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 739-4200
(312) 419-0379 (FAX)

T:\31841\Pleading\Complaint_Pleading.wpd

VERIFICATION

The undersigned declares under penalty of perjury, as provided for by 28 U.S.C. §1746, that the facts stated in the foregoing complaint are true to the best of the undersigned's knowledge and belief.



Larry Helferich

NOTICE OF LIEN AND ASSIGNMENT

Please be advised that we claim a lien upon any recovery herein for 1/3 or such amount as a court awards. All rights relating to attorney's fees have been assigned to counsel.

/s/ Daniel A. Edelman
Daniel A. Edelman

Daniel A. Edelman
EDELMAN, COMBS, LATTURNER
& GOODWIN, LLC
20 S. Clark Street, Suite 1500
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 739-4200
(312) 419-0379 (FAX)

DOCUMENT PRESERVATION DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands that defendant take affirmative steps to preserve all recordings, data, documents, and all other tangible things that relate to plaintiff, the events described herein, any third party associated with any telephone call, campaign, account, sale or file associated with plaintiff, and any account or number or symbol relating to them. These materials are likely very relevant to the litigation of this claim. If defendant is aware of any third party that has possession, custody, or control of any such materials, plaintiff demands that defendant request that such third party also take steps to preserve the materials. This demand shall not narrow the scope of any independent document preservation duties of the defendant.

/s/ Daniel A. Edelman
Daniel A. Edelman